8

Secy. H-29—85,000—7-11-

Law (Edn) (1927) Department

MR SERIES.

G.O. No. 1881

DATED 2.9.27

[Despatch Abstract.]

[illegible] INTARY EDUCATION [illegible].

Taluk Board, Kollegal – Debit of pay of Clerk – Reconsideration of orders – Negatived.

C.F. 80—5,000—10-7-24.

Local Fund **DEPARTMENT.**

2c

Received 4 DEC 1927 192 *Registered* C.2833 97 1927.

Ex A 552

Subject. 4571 31487 19.9.27.

Elementary Education Fund--Kollegal Taluk Board--Pay of clerk--debit to Elementary Education Fund- reconsideration of G.O.No.1532 L.E. dated 6-8-27--requested.

Edn dept.

Law and Edn. dept.

L.S.G. M.O No. 31487-4 A Rtn. 19-9-27

14/9/27 1529 16.9.27

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies.

R.O.C. No. 92/Supr of 27
K.T.B.

Station Kollegal., *Dated the* 5th September 1927.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. A.B.Chennaveera Chettiar Avl.,

(Designation) President, Taluk Board, Kollegal.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Through

MADRAS.

Sir, The President, District Board, Coimbatore.

Reference:-G.O.No.Misc.1532 L & E., dated the 6th August 1927.

I have the honour to submit the following for consideration and modification of the Government order noted above.

In declining to sanction the proposal of the Kollegal Taluk Board to debit the pay of one clerk employed for the maintenance of accounts relating to Elementary Education funds to that fund, Government seems to have been guided by the letter R.C.No.1079/B-27 dated the 16th July 1927 of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

pages 4-5 G.O. 1532 6-8-27

The Director of Public Instruction in his letter has stated that there are only 39 schools under the Board. This is not correct. There are 50 schools under the Board of which one school at Alahalli has been temporarily closed and the teacher of that school is doing duty elsewhere in the Taluk.

The Director of Public Instruction in his above letter mentions that six schools located in rented buildings not being accessible to Adi-Dravidas, the Board may be advised to construct suitable buildings of its own in the sites accessible to Adi-Dravidas. The Taluk Board has recently resolved to construct a building for the Town Elementary school at Kollegal at an estimated cost of Rs.15,000/- bearing ½ of the cost out of Taluk Board Funds and the other half out of provincial grant for which an application has been made to the Director of Public Instruction. If, at this stage, Government or the Director of Public Instruction were to say that the proposal of the Taluk Board to debit to Elementary Education funds, the pay of one clerk, cannot be considered till the Taluk Board provides its own buildings to the 6 schools, I submit that the proposal of the Taluk Board (re-debit of pay of a clerk to Elementary Education funds) will never be sanctioned, as the Taluk Board cannot or rather it may take many many years, to construct buildings, due to the very poor financial condition of the Board. In cases of this kind, I am of opinion, that it is the duty of Government to give liberal grants to poor Taluk Boards for construction of buildings etc and particularly so when the Government have been relieved of the payment of contribution to the Central Government.

If my Taluk Board were rich enough to construct 6 buildings as pointed out by the Director of Public Instruction, then my Board would not have moved the Government to sanction their proposal regarding the debit of pay of a clerk to Elementary Education Fund. The Government order refusing to sanction the proposal because the Taluk Board has not yet constructed six pucca buildings, does not

seem to me to be sound as I think that it is Government who should come to the rescue of poor Boards like that of Kollegal. I am sure the Government would have sanctioned the proposal of our Taluk Board if we had constructed these buildings i.e. if the Taluk Board had been rich enough. It is for Government to consider which Boards require relief and how to relieve them. I may point out that the proposal of the Taluk Board relieves the financial helplessness of the Board to a small extent.

The Local Board Act of 1920 is so drafted that though all the Taluk Boards are given the same responsibilities yet in some cases the income of these Boards are not at all sufficient to meet its ~~stationery~~ Stationary charges. In such cases, I believe it to be the duty of Government, either to help them by liberal grants or the duties assigned to them under the Act should be curtailed. Unless this is done, it is my considered opinion, that the working of the Local Boards Act of 1920 by poor Taluk Boards can never be a success.

In his letter, quoted in para 1, the Director of Public Instruction reports that "the staff for the Town Elementary school, Kollegal is not reported to be adequate". Though the sanctioned strength of this school is only 4,11 teachers are working in this school and this is sufficient. The complaint is that the 7 teachers who are working here and who have been drafted from schools where their services were superfluous have yet to be permanently attached to the Town Elementary school, Kollegal. This question will be taken up when the question of utilizing the Education-tax now levied by the Taluk Board is taken up.

The question of extending the accomodation for the school at Doddinduvadi can be considered only when the Government give an inclination to give full grant to needy Taluk Board for construction and extension of Elementary school buildings.

Under the above circumstances, if the Government were to insist that they cannot sanction the proposal of the Taluk Board till the defects pointed out by the Director of Public Instruction are remedied, then the Taluk Board will have no other go but to close some of the schools and the amount saved thereby be utilized for construction and extension of buildings, to schools in need of.

It has already been brought to the notice of Government that the Taluk Board, under its poor financial conditions, is contributing more than the amount fixed in G.O.No.813-L.E. dated the 10th May 26.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

579/27

K.N.R/5-9-27

President, Taluk Board.

2835 / 27.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govt Local Self Govt Dept Madras

12.9.27

12.9.27

DESPATCHED. SEP 12 1927

Scott. II-5 (a)—40,006—9-11-26. (6)

Date. 28/9 Initials. 58

Law (Edn) DEPARTMENT.

When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied		
When examined ..		29/9/27
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

E. E. Fund

Taluk Board, Kollegal — Debit of pay of clerk - Reconsideration of orders — Negatived.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 192

" " " " ..... " 192

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 4857/A From the ....., No. ....., dated 192

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 192

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 192

ORDER.

Mis

No. 1881, dated 29/9/27.

The Govt are unable to reconsider the orders passed in G.O. No. 1532, Law (Edn), 8-8-27, regarding the debit to the elementary education fund of the pay of a clerk employed for the maintenance of accounts relating to that fund. They sanction the employment of clerks only in cases where the number of elementary schools maintained by a taluk board is not less than one hundred

(1)

L. S. G. wo. for acceptance.

Se. 24/9

CR 24/9

RECEIVED 23 SEP 1927

2. 33164

To The D.P.I., Kollegal (with reference to his letter No. 92 Sup. 8/57-27)

Copy to the D.P.I. 23/9/27

Dd 23/9/27

Dd 23/9/27

23.9.27 Se. 24/9

CR 24/9

S(Edn) 24-9-27

2

3364

Secy

the draft overleaf may be accepted in Secy,

MA
26-9-27

Secy (AS)

Edn.

Secy no 3364-1 Adm
27.9.27

Law Dept no

Edn-A
4741
28.9.27